

THE MADRAS & SOUTHERN MAHARATTA RAILWAY CO., LTD.

INCORPORATED IN ENGLAND.

Notice.

Consequent upon the revision of the General Classification of Goods and increase in the number of classes from 1st April 1922 particulars of which already appeared in this paper, the following rates will be revised:-

1. The existing adjusted rates for 5 classes between Bombay and M. S. M. and Mysore Railways Metre Gauge stations.
2. The existing special rates for grain, pulses and seeds common between Bombay and certain Metre Gauge stations of the M. S. M. and the Mysore Railways.
3. The existing adjusted rates for 5 classes between *via* Dadar, Thana, Kaiyan and Karjat and M. S. M. Railway Metre Gauge stations.
4. The existing special rates for salt from Konkan stations of the G. I. P. Railway, *via* Vadala and *via* Dadar, Kurne, Ghat Kopar, Bhendup, Thana (Chandni Landar Siding) and Khorsli to the Metre Gauge stations of the M. S. M. and Mysore Railways.
5. The existing adjusted class rates *via* Hatgi and *via* Bezvada to and from stations Tettn to Wairah on the North East line of the M. S. M. and all G. I. P. Railway Stations.

The revised rates will be available for inspection at stations in a few days.

NOTICE.

Revision of Goods Schedule Rates.

The following will be adopted as the bases of Schedule rates A and B in place of those notified in notice No. 89, on and from 1st April 1922, in local booking and 1st June in through booking.

Schedule A.

Pie per maund per mile.

38 for the 1st 300 miles.	+ '125 for 501 to 600 miles.
+ '30 for 301 to 400 miles.	+ '115 for 601 to 700 miles.
+ '20 for 401 to 500 miles.	+ '100 for 701 miles and over.

Schedule B.

Pie per maund per mile

35 for the 1st 75 miles.	+ '15 for 226 to 300 miles.
+ '25 for 76 to 150 miles.	+ '10 for 301 miles and over.
+ '20 for 151 to 225 miles.	

Groundnuts, jaggery, spirits, country and a few other commodities will be carried at class rates from 1st April 1922, in local booking and 1st June in through booking.

PRIVATE ADVERTISEMENTS.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIEF AT SERINGAPATAM.

Miscellaneous Application No. 191 of 1921-22.

In the matter of the estate of Mr. S. Subba Rao, late Deputy Amildar,
French Rocks Sub-Taluk Deceased.

1. Manjiah, 2. Visweswariah, 3. Subramanya, 4. Keswamurthi, minors
by next friend Mr. M. Kesaviah, Retired Amildar Applicants.

Whereas the abovenamed applicants, residents of A. Ramanna's street, Mysore Town, claiming to be the deceased sons of the said Mr. S. Subba Rao, deceased, have applied to this Court for a certificate under Regulation VII of 1901, to collect debts payable in respect of the estate of Mr. S. Subba Rao, deceased resident of Mysore.

Notice is hereby given of the said application, and all claimants in the estate of the said Mr. S. Subba Rao, deceased, are invited to appear in this Court on Friday the 3rd day of March 1922, when the petition of the said Manjiah and other applicants will be heard, and the right to the certificate will be determined.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 6th day of February 1922

Insolvency application No. 1 of 1921-22.

Venkata alias Taki, resident of Gopalapura, Mandya Taluk

... Petitioner.

vs.

1. Padmarajah, son of Ammanyappa, 2. Anantharajah, son of Bammiah, 3. Javali Angadi G. Dasappa, all are residing in Mandya. 4. Shanbhog Puttia, Satnoor, 5. Sivage Gowda, son of Marihydegowda, 6. Venkategowda, son of Madamma, residing in Gopalapur, Mandya Taluk

... Opponents.

Whereas the above petitioner has applied to this Court by a petition dated 11th July 1921, to be declared an insolvent under the Mysore Insolvency Regulation VI of 1911, and your name appears in the list of creditors filed by the aforesaid debtor, this is to give you notice that the Court has fixed the 3rd day of March 1922, for the hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor. If you desire to be represented in the matter you should attend in person or by duly instructed pleader.

Dated 6th day of February 1922.

Insolvency Application No. 8 of 1921-22.

Betta, son of Palahalli Chaluve Gowda, resident of Rampur, Kyatanhalli Hobli, Seringapatam

... Petitioner.

vs.

1. Patel Thimme Gowda, Rampur Agrahara, Seringapatam Taluk; 2. Hutchammananingiah, resident of Ramapur, 3. Chowbine Subbia, Seringapatam

... Opponents.

Whereas the above petitioner has applied to this Court by a petition dated 7th day of November 1921, to be declared an insolvent under the Mysore Insolvency Regulation, VI of 1911, and your name appears in the list of creditors filed by the aforesaid debtor, this is to give you notice that the Court has fixed the 10th day of February 1922, for the hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor. If you desire to be represented in the matter you should attend in person or by duly instructed pleader.

Dated 3rd February 1922.

H. M. KRISHNASWAMI,

Munsiff.

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE, SHIMOGA DIVISION.

Present.—K. RAMASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq., B.A., B.L., District Judge.*Miscellaneous Case No. 25 of 1921-22.*

Kudathini Venkobanna, son of Somanna, inhabitant of Brucepet, Bellary

... Petitioner.

Application for the appointment of guardian to the person and property of Lakshmiddevamma, a minor inhabitant of Nagasamudra.

The petitioner abovenamed having applied to be appointed the guardian of the person and property of the aforesaid minor, the 8th day of March 1922 has been fixed for the hearing of the application, and notice is hereby given to the public that if any other relative, friend, kinsman or well-wisher of the aforesaid minor desire to be appointed or declared as guardian of the person and property of the said minor, he should enter appearance in person in this Court on the aforesaid date, and be prepared to adduce on that day, any documentary and oral evidence he may desire to adduce in support of his claim to such appointment or declaration.

Given under my hand and the seal of this court this 2nd day of February 1922.

K. RAMASWAMI IYENGAR,

District Judge.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT KOLAR GOLD FIELDS.

Insolvency Application No. 39 of 1921-22.

S. Ponnasamy, son of Subramaniam Mudaliar, Turner Fitter, Marikuppam

... Petitioner.

1. Neenichend, Robertsonpet; 2. Bhejanathasingh, Andersonpet, 3. Loganatha Mudaliar, 4. M. Pokaraj, 3 and 5 reside at Frank and Co., Marikuppam

... Opponents.

Whereas the petitioner has applied to this Court, by a petition dated 28th November 1921, to be declared an insolvent under the Mysore Insolvency Regulation, VI of 1911, and your name appears in the list of creditors filed by the aforesaid debtor, this is to give you notice that the Court has fixed the 28th day of February 1922 for the hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor. If you desire to be represented in the matter you should attend either in person or by duly instructed pleader.

Dated 9th February 1922.

Insolvency Application No. 43 of 1921-22.

Appajappa, son of Venkatesh, residing in Keelakuppam Bowringpet Taluk

Vs.

Petitioner

1. Guler, Lehighathams by agent Mogurpet, residing in Bowringpet
2. Megharaj, 3. Kosaljee, 4. M. S. Veerabhadra Setty, 5. Muniammal, 6. Kapotamma, residing in Bowringpet, 7. P. Saraj, 8. Kanjilal, residing in Robertsonpet, 9. Chendasaal, residing in Robertsonpet, 10. Dhanraj Rao, residing in Bowringpet, 11. Muniamasetty, residing in Bowringpet, 12. Yehass, Firewood Merchant, residing in Channion Reefs, 13. Dhanakoti Madhala, residing in Veeragudasu, Masikam, 14. Channal, residing in Robertsonpet, 15. Latamminappa, Contractor, residing in Chelur village

Opponents.

Whereas the petitioner has applied to this Court, by a petition dated 23rd December 1921 to be declared an insolvent under the Mysore Insolvency Regulation, VI of 1911, and your name appears in the list of creditors filed by the aforesaid debtor, this is to give you notice that the Court has fixed the 28th day of March 1922, for the hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor. If you desire to be represented in the matter you should attend in person or by duly instructed pleader.

The particulars of the debt alleged in the petition to be due to you are as follows.

Dated 9th February 1922.

Insolvency Application No. 50 of 1921-22.

Kondar, 44, Winding Drive, Channion Reefs, residing in Gollapally ... *Petitioner.*

Vs.

1. Raghava Raddy residing in Manganoor Gramam Valaja Taluk, Veeravoor post, 2. Narasimha Raddy residing in Periankuppam Gramam, Valaja Taluk, Veeravoor post, 3. V. Ramiah residing in Gollapally, 4. V. Appadom Mudaliar, residing in Gollapally, 5. Ayyasami Mudaliar, residing in Gollapally, 6. Chinnappa Mudaliar residing in Gollapally, 7. Dookatchend residing in Andersonpett, 8. Nallaraddy, residing in Palligudasu, 9. Narasimha Mudaliar residing in Palligudasu, 10. Ailaraddy residing in Kataputtoo, Veeravoor Taluk

Opponents.

Whereas the petitioner has applied to this Court, by a petition dated 6th January 1922, to be declared an insolvent under the Mysore Insolvency Regulation VI of 1911, and your name appears in the list of creditors filed by the aforesaid debtor, this is to give you notice that the Court has fixed the 28th day of March 1922, for the hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor. If you desire to be represented in the matter you should attend in person or by duly instructed pleader.

Dated 9th February 1922.

Insolvency Application No. 59 of 1921-22.

Kullappachary, son of Nannachary, carpenter Nandidrugam

Vs.

Petitioner.

1. Mayanachari, contractor, Ooreganm, 2. Bhaktavarasal Bacharaj Agent Megharaj, 3. Bhaktavarasal Bacharaj Agent Nemichond, 4. Premaraj, 5. Bhaktavarasal Bacharaj Agent Seshamal, 6. P. M. Papiah, contractor (2 to 6 residing in Robertsonpett)

Opponents.

Whereas the petitioner has applied to this Court, by a petition dated 2nd of February 1922, to be declared an insolvent under the Mysore Insolvency Regulation, VI of 1911, and your name appears in the list of creditors filed by the aforesaid debtor, this is to give you notice that the Court has fixed the 28th day of March 1922, for the hearing of the aforesaid petition and the examination of the debtor. If you desire to be represented in the matter you should attend in person or by duly instructed pleader.

The particulars of the debt alleged in the petition to be due to you are as follows.

Dated 9th February 1922.

H. K. VEERABASAVAR, *Munsif.*